

Public  
gatherin  
this col  
Davis -

AD-A233 687

1. Age

27 Nov - 1 Dec 1989

3. Report Type and Dates Covered.  
Abstract

4. Title and Subtitle.

Impact of Sample Window Choice and Location on Time Delay  
Estimation of Transients

6. Author(s).

Roger W. Meredith and Allen E. Lyebourne III

7. Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es).

Naval Ocean Research and Development Activity  
Code 242  
SSC, MS 39529-5004  
USM  
P.O. Box 5137 Hattiesburg, MS 39406

5. Funding Numbers.

Program Element No. 62435N

Project No. RJ035

Task No. I21

Accession No. DN496433

8. Performing Organization Report Number.

AB 89:242:070

9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es).

Office of the Chief of Naval Research  
ONT  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000DTIC  
SELECTED  
APR 16 1991  
D

10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number.

11. Supplementary Notes.

12a. Distribution/Availability Statement.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

12b. Distribution Code.

13. Abstract (Maximum 200 words).

Accession For
NTIS GRA&I
DTIC TAB
Unannounced
Justification
By _____
Distribution/
Availability Codes
Avail and/or
Dist Special

A-1 21

14. Subject Terms.

acoustic propagation, sea ice, noise

15. Number of Pages.

1

16. Price Code.

17. Security Classification of Report.

18. Security Classification of This Page.

Unclassified

19. Security Classification of Abstract.

Unclassified

20. Limitation of Abstract.

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)  
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18  
298-102

91 2 05 056

10:50

**UU10. Impact of sample window choice and location on time delay estimation of transients.** Allen E. Leybourne, III (University of Southern Mississippi, Box 5137, Hattiesburg, MS 39406) and Roger W. Meredith (Naval Ocean Research and Development Activity, Arctic Acoustics Branch, Stennis Space Center, MS 39529)

Estimation of time delays between coherent transient signals is biased by the choice and location of the sampling window. For transients that do not tend to zero within the sampling window (self-windowing), the uniform or boxcar window may not be the best choice due to spectral leakage. This is especially important when processing highly asymmetrical transient signals that are not self-windowing as in the case of acoustic ambient noise. When a sample window is used to suppress spectral leakage, a bias in time delay estimation results from a suboptimal window location. Even though the boxcar window produces the least bias in time delay when the transient is self-windowing, it is shown that sidelobe interference is significant for highly asymmetric signals. This work critically examines these effects and describes an iterative procedure to determine optimal location of the transient within any sample window. [Work supported by the Office of Naval Technology.]